





EXAMPLE 1.1: Mortimer Middleman

Mortimer Middleman--friends call him MM--operates a modest wholesale diamond business. Several times each year MM travels to Antwerp, Belgium, to replenish his diamond supply on the international market. The wholesale price there averages approximately \$700 per carat, but Antwerp market rules require him to buy at least 100 carats each trip. Mortimer and his staff then resell the diamonds to jewelers at a profit of \$200 per carat. Each of the Antwerp trips requires 1 week, including the time for Mortimer to get ready, and costs approximately \$2000.









EXAMPLE 1.1: Mortimer Middleman

Sometimes Mortimer believes that he is holding too much inventory. The hundreds of carats of diamonds on hand during some weeks add to his insurance costs and tie up capital that he could otherwise invest. MM has estimated that these holding costs total 0.5 % of wholesale value per week (i.e., 0.005 x \$700 = \$3.50 per carat per week).

At other times, diamond sales—and Mortimer's \$200 per carat profit—have been lost because customer demand exceeded available stock [see Figure 1.1(d)]. When a customer calls, MM must either fill the order on the spot or lose the sale.









3 Dimension of the Problem

- The decisions open to the decision makers
- The **constraints** limiting decision choices
- The **objectives** making some decisions preferred to others [1.2]

























1.3 System Boundaries, Sensitivity Analysis, Tractability, and Validity















Tractability versus Validity

- Tractability in modeling means the degree to which the model admits convenient analysis – how much analysis is practical. [1.8]
- Validity of a model is the degree to which inference drawn from the model hold for the real system. [1.9]
- OR analysts almost always confront a tradeoff between validity of models and their tractability to analysis. [1.10]









Simulation Model Validity

• Simulation models often possess high validity because they track true system behavior fairly accurately. [1.11]









Numerical Search

$q^{(0)} = 251, r^{(0)} = 55, c(q^{(0)}, r^{(0)}) = $108,621$	
$q^{(1)} = 251, r^{(1)} = 65, c(q^{(1)}, r^{(1)}) = $108,421$	$\mathbf{+}$
$q^{(2)} = 251, r^{(2)} = 75, c(q^{(2)}, r^{(2)}) = $ \$63,254	$\mathbf{\Psi}$
$q^{(3)} = 251, r^{(3)} = 85, c(q^{(3)}, r^{(3)}) = $ \$63,054	$\mathbf{\Psi}$
$q^{(4)} = 251, r^{(4)} = 95, c(q^{(4)}, r^{(4)}) = $ \$64,242	^
increasing q	
$q^{(5)} = 261, r^{(5)} = 85, c(q^{(5)}, r^{(5)}) = $95,193$	^
decreading q	
$q^{(6)} = 241, r^{(6)} = 85, c(q^{(6)}, r^{(6)}) = $72,781$	1
STOP	
$q^{(3)} = 251, r^{(3)} = 85, c(q^{(3)}, r^{(3)}) = $63,054$	
	College of Engineering

	Numerical Search – A Different Start	
$q^{(0)} = 251,$	$r^{(0)} = 145, c(q^{(0)}, r^{(0)}) = $56,904$	
$q^{(1)} = 251,$	$r^{(1)} = 155, c(q^{(1)}, r^{(1)}) = $59,539$	1
$a^{(2)} - 251$	$r(2) = 125 \circ (r(2)) = 0.00$	J

 $q^{(2)} = 251, r^{(2)} = 135, c(q^{(2)}, r^{(2)}) = $56,900$ $q^{(3)} = 251, r^{(3)} = 125, c(q^{(3)}, r^{(3)}) = $59,732$

increasing q (4) $224 r^{(4)} + 425 c^{(2)} r^{(4)} - 654 + 103$

$$q^{(4)} = 261, r^{(4)} = 135, c(q^{(4)}, r^{(4)}) = $54,193$$

$$q^{(5)} = 271, r^{(5)} = 135, c(q^{(5)}, r^{(5)}) = $58,467$$

STOP

 $q^{(4)} = 261, r^{(4)} = 135, c(q^{(4)}, r^{(4)}) = $54,193$

Τ













- The power and generality of available mathematical tools for analysis of stochastic models does not nearly match that available for deterministic models. [1.19]
- Most optimization models are deterministic not because OR analysts really believe that all problem parameters are known with certainty, but because useful prescriptive results can often be obtained only if stochastic variation is ignored.
 [1.20]



1.7 Perspectives

- Informal <> Formal models
- Validity <> Tractability
- Other considerations: understood, time frame, computer power, data collection...
- The model-based OR approach to problem solving works best on problems important enough to warrant the time and resources for a careful study.
 [1.21]