

Subroutine ATEIG

This subroutine computes the eigenvalues of a real upper almost-triangular matrix (Hessenberg form -- see subroutine HSBG) using the double QR iteration of J. G. F. Francis.

1. Mathematical background

a. Definition of the QR iteration

Let A be a real or complex nonsingular matrix of order n . Then a decomposition of A exists of the form

$$A = Q R$$

where Q is unitary and R is upper triangular. If the diagonal elements of R are real and positive, Q is unique. Consider now the sequence of matrices $A^{(p)}$ defined recursively by

$$A^{(0)} = A, \quad A^{(p)} = Q^{(p)} R^{(p)}, \quad A^{(p+1)} = R^{(p)} Q^{(p)}, \quad p \geq 0.$$

Note that $A^{(p+1)} = Q^{(p)*} A^{(p)} Q^{(p)}$ for $p \geq 0$; hence it follows that $A^{(p)}$ is similar to A for all p .

Furthermore, if A satisfies certain conditions, it can be proved that $A^{(p)}$ tends to an upper triangular matrix as $p \rightarrow \infty$; thus the eigenvalues of A are the diagonal elements of this limit matrix.

b. Convergence

If the moduli of the eigenvalues are distinct, the elements $a_{ij}^{(p)}$ below the main diagonal of $A^{(p)}$ tend to zero, as $\frac{|\lambda_i|^p}{|\lambda_j|^p}$, the eigenvalues being subscripted so that $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_{i+1}|$.

Thus, in general, the eigenvalues appear on the main diagonal, starting from the last position, in increasing order of moduli.

So, when the smallest eigenvalue λ_n has been found, we can reduce the order of the matrix by neglecting the last row and column and find λ_{n-1} by the same process, without any special deflation.

Note that the speed of convergence is considerably improved when the origin of the eigenvalues is shifted close to λ_n .

Such a shift, say $s^{(p)}$, can be introduced before an iteration and the opposite one afterwards. Then the iteration can be written as:

$$A^{(p)} - s^{(p)} I = Q^{(p)} R^{(p)}$$

$$A^{(p+1)} = R^{(p)} Q^{(p)} + s^{(p)} I$$

In general, $A_{n,n}^{(p)}$, for p large enough, can provide an efficient value for $s^{(p)}$.

c. Use of the Hessenberg form

The Hessenberg form is preserved under the QR iteration. Thus, a reduction of the initial matrix to the Hessenberg form can give a significant saving of computation in each iteration for the QR decomposition, the lower part of the matrix consisting only of the codiagonal terms.

Before each iteration, the codiagonal terms will be inspected. If some of these are zero, the matrix will be split according to this occurrence, and the iteration will be applied to the lower main submatrix only.

d. The double QR iteration

Let A be a diagonalizable real upper Hessenberg matrix. Such a matrix must be expected to have complex conjugate pairs of eigenvalues. If these pairs are the only eigenvalues of equal modulus, it can be shown that they will appear as the latent roots of main submatrices of order 2. In this case, if a shift is close to one of these roots, it will be complex, and we will have to deal with complex matrices, although the initial one is real. The use of the double QR iteration avoids this inconvenience.

Taking $s^{(p+1)} = \bar{s}(p)$, consider the transformation giving $A^{(p+2)}$ from $A^{(p)}$:

$$A^{(p+2)} = Q^{(p+1)*} Q^{(p)*} A^{(p)} Q^{(p)} Q^{(p+1)}$$

It can be proved that the product $Q^{(p)} Q^{(p+1)}$ derives from the QR decomposition of the matrix $M = (A^{(p)} - s^{(p)} I)(A^{(p)} - s^{(p+1)} I)$, which is real.

In fact, Francis (1961, 1962) showed that only the first column m_1 of M is necessary for determining the transformation which gives $A^{(p+2)}$ from $A^{(p)}$, if they both have the Hessenberg form.

Practically, the first part of the double iteration consists of the application of an initial transformation $N_1^* A^{(p)} N_1$ where N_1 is unitary and such that $N_1^* m_1 = \pm \|m_1\| e_1$. This leads to a matrix which no longer has the Hessenberg form.

Thus, the remaining part of the iteration will involve the application of $(n-1)$ successive transformations, which have the same form as the initial one whose matrices N_i are such that the resulting matrix $A^{(p+2)}$ has the Hessenberg form.

This process can fail when a subdiagonal term of the given matrix is zero. In this case, the matrix can be split, and the iteration is performed on the lower main submatrix only.

In the subroutine, N_i are Householder's matrices.

2. Programming considerations

At each iteration, the latent roots x_1 and x_2 of the lower main submatrix of order 2 are computed. Then, the following situations can occur:

a. The term $a_{n-1, n-2}$ can be taken as zero. Then, x_1 and x_2 are eigenvalues of the original matrix, and the order of the matrix is reduced by 2. IANA(N) and IANA(N-1) are set to 0 and 2 respectively.

b. The term $a_{n, n-1}$ can be taken as zero. In this case, $a_{n, n}$ is an eigenvalue of the original matrix, and the order of the matrix is reduced by 1. IANA(N) is set to 1.

c. One of the last two subdiagonal terms is stable through one iteration. Then the smaller one is considered as zero. The corresponding components of IANA are set to 0, 1, or 2, according to a. or b.

d. The maximum number of iterations is reached. In this case, the smaller of the last two subdiagonal elements is taken as zero. The corresponding components of IANA are set to 0, 1, or 2, according to a. or b.

The user can check the results by inspecting the subdiagonal terms of the matrix on return from the subroutine, according to the vector IANA, in the following way:

If for each IANA(I) containing 1 or 2, $2 \leq I \leq M$,

$$|A(I, I-1)| \leq 10^{-7} (|RR(I)| + |RI(I)|),$$

then RR(I) and RI(I) were computed with a satisfactory accuracy.

For reference see:

- (1) J. G. F. Francis, Computer Journal. October, 1961 4-3, January, 1962 4-4.
- (2) J. H. Wilkinson, The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965.

```

C ..... ATEI 430
C SLEFRCLINE ATEIG(M,A,RR,R1,IANA,IA) ATEI 440
C DIMENSION A(M),RR(1),R1(1),PRP(2),PRI(2),IANA(1) ATEI 450
C INTEGER P,Q,L,C
C
C E7=L,C7=8 ATEI 460
C E8=L,C8=6 ATEI 470
C E10=L,C10=1C ATEI 480
C DELTA=C,5 ATEI 490
C PAINT=2C ATEI 500
C
C INITIALIZATION ATEI 510
C
C RMY ATEI 520
C K=N-K-1 ATEI 530
C IN=N+1 ATEI 540
C NN=N-K ATEI 550
C IF(N>1) 3C,13CC,3C ATEI 560
C 3C AF=N+1 ATEI 570
C
C ITERATION COUNTER ATEI 580
C
C IT=C ATEI 590
C
C RCCTS OF THE 2ND ORDER MAIN SUBMATRIX AT THE PREVIOUS ATEI 600
C ITERATION ATEI 610
C
C EC AC I=1,2 ATEI 620
C PRP(I)=C,C ATEI 630
C 4C PRI(I)=C,C ATEI 640
C
C LAST TWO SUBDIAGONAL ELEMENTS AT THE PREVIOUS ITERATION ATEI 650
C
C FAH=C,C ATEI 660
C FAH=1=C,C ATEI 670
C
C CRICIN SHIFT ATEI 680
C RHC,C ATEI 690
C SH,C,C ATEI 700
C
C RCCTS OF THE LOWER MAIN 2 BY 2 SUBMATRIX ATEI 710
C
C N2=N-1 ATEI 720
C IN1=IA ATEI 730
C IN2=IN1+K ATEI 740
C IN3=IN1+K1 ATEI 750
C IN4=IN1+K1 ATEI 760
C
C T=A(IN1)-A(IN2) ATEI 770
C L=I+1 ATEI 780
C V=4.C*(A(IN1)+A(IN2)) ATEI 790
C IF((ABS(V)-L)<E7) 1CC,100,65 ATEI 800
C
C 65 T=V ATEI 810
C IF((AES(I))-AMAX1(L,AES(V))+E6) 67,67,68 ATEI 820
C 67 TC,C ATEI 830
C 68 L=(A(IN1)+A(IN2))/2,C ATEI 840
C V=SIGN(AES(I))/2,C ATEI 850
C 11C T=V4.C*(A(IN1)+A(IN2))/2,C,7C ATEI 860
C 7C IF(L) EC,75,75 ATEI 870
C 75 PR(I)=L ATEI 880
C PR(I)=V ATEI 890
C EC TC 13C ATEI 900
C EC PR(I)=L-V ATEI 910
C PR(I)=V ATEI 920
C CC TC 13C ATEI 930
C 1CC IF(L>2C) 11C,11C ATEI 940
C 11C PR(I)=A(IN1) ATEI 950
C PR(I)=A(IN2) ATEI 960
C CC TC 13C ATEI 970
C 12C PR(I)=A(IN1) ATEI 980
C PR(I)=A(IN2) ATEI 990
C 13C PR(I)=C,C ATEI 1000
C PR(I)=C,C ATEI 1010
C CC TC 13C ATEI 1020
C 14C PR(I)=L ATEI 1030
C PR(I)=V ATEI 1040
C PR(I)=V ATEI 1050
C CC TC 13C ATEI 1060
C 15C PR(I)=L ATEI 1070
C PR(I)=V ATEI 1080
C CC TC 13C ATEI 1090
C 16C PR(I)=2EC,12EC,18C ATEI 1100
C
C TESTS OF CONVERGENCE ATEI 1110
C
C 18C N12=A(IN1)-I ATEI 1120
C 19C CC 3EC I=1+2 ATEI 1130
C 20C K=AF-I ATEI 1140
C 21C IF((AES(I)-PRP(I))+ABS(RI(I))-PHI(I))-CELT>(ABS(RR(I))) ATEI 1150
C 22C 1 +ABS(RI(I))-EIC*AES(A(IN1)) 13CC,1200,250 ATEI 1160
C 23C 25C IF((AES(I)-A(IN2))-AES(A(IN2)))*E61 124C,124C,26C ATEI 1170
C 26C IF((AES(I)-A(IN2))-AES(A(IN2)))*E61 124C,124C,26C ATEI 1180
C 3CC 3CC IF(I>MAX(I)) 32C,124C,124C ATEI 1190
C
C COMPLETE THE SHIFT ATEI 1200
C
C 32C J=1 ATEI 1210
C CC 3EC I=1+2 ATEI 1220
C 33C K=AF-I ATEI 1230
C 34C IF((AES(PR(I))-PRR(I))+ABS(RI(I))-PHI(I))-CELT>(ABS(RR(I))) ATEI 1240
C 35C 1 +ABS(RI(I))-EIC*AES(A(IN1)) 34C,3EC,3EC ATEI 1250
C
C 36C CONTINUE ATEI 1260
C CC TC 134C,4EC,4EC,J ATEI 1270
C 44C PH=C,C ATEI 1280
C SH=C,C ATEI 1290
C 45C J=I+2-J ATEI 1300
C PR(I)=J+PR(I) ATEI 1310
C SH=H(J)+PR(I) ATEI 1320
C CC TC 13C ATEI 1330
C 48C H=H(N)+PR(I)-H(I)+PR(I) ATEI 1340
C PRP(N)+RH(I) ATEI 1350
C
C SAVE THE LAST TWO SUBDIAGONAL TERMS AND THE RCCTS OF THE ATEI 1360
C SUBMATRIX BEFORE ITERATION ATEI 1370
C
C 5CC PRP(N)=A(IN1) ATEI 1380
C PRP(1)=A(IN2) ATEI 1390
C CC 52C I=1+2 ATEI 1400
C 53C K=NP-I ATEI 1410
C PRP(I)=PR(I) ATEI 1420
C 52C PRP(I)=RI(I) ATEI 1430
C
C SEARCH FOR A PARTITION OF THE MATRIX, DEFINED BY P AND Q ATEI 1440
C
C 54C P=I ATEI 1450
C IF((N-3)>CC,6CC,525 ATEI 1460
C 525 IF(I>1)A12 ATEI 1470
C CC SEC J=2,A2 ATEI 1480
C 54C IF(I>1)-I-1 ATEI 1490
C IF((AES(A(IP1))-EPS1)>CC,6CC,53C ATEI 1500
C
C

```

```

530 IF(IF1=IF1+1)
531 IF(IF2=IF1+1)
532 C=A1(IF1)+A1(IF1)-S1+A1(IF1)*A1(IF1+1)+R
533 IF(IF1>4C,5EC,5AC
534 IF(IF1>5C,A1(IF1+1)+(ABS(A1(IF1))+A1(IF1+2))-S1)+ABS(A1(IF1+2))ATEI1760
1,33 -B51*EPS)620,62C,56C
535 ATEI1770
536 P=A1-J
537 CNTNLE
538 C=0
539 GC TC EEC
540 FI=P-1
541 C=P
542 IF(IF1-1)=6EC,EEC,e5C
543 GC TC 142,01
544 IF1=IF1-1P-1
545 IF(IF1>5C,A1(IF1))-EPS)6EC,680,66C
546 C=C-1
547 C CR ECELE ITERATION
548 EEC I1=(P-1)+1A+P
549 GC 142C I=P,01
550 I1P=11-1A
551 I1P=11+1A
552 IF(IF1-1)=2C,1CC,T2C
553 TCC IF1=11+1
554 IF1P=1P+1
555 C INITIALIZATION OF THE TRANSFCRMATION
556 G1=(P-1)+1A+P
557 G2=(A1(IF1)+A1(IF1)*A1(IF1+1)
558 G3=(A1(IF1)+A1(IF1+1)
559 A1(IF1+1))C,C
560 GE TC 7EC
561 G1=(A1(IF1))
562 G2=(A1(IF1+1))
563 IF1=(A1(IF1+2),740,760
564 TCC G3=(A1(IF1+2))
565 GC TC 7EC
566 G3=0,C
567 TCC CAP=SSG11G1+G1+G2*G2+G3*G3
568 IF(IF1>5CC,6EC,800
569 EEC IF1G1>E2C,44C,64C
570 CAF=CAF
571 EEC T=1G1+CAF
572 FS11=G2/1
573 FS12=G3/1
574 ALPH=2,C/(1,C+PS11+PS11+PS12+PS12)
575 GC TC 88C
576 ALFH=A2,C
577 EEC ALFH=A2,C
578 FS11=C,C
579 FS12=C,C
580 EEC IF11-C15CC,56C,5CC
581 SCC IF11-F152C,54C,92C
582 S2C,A1(IF1)=CAF
583 GC TC 56C
584 A1(IF1)=A1(IF1)
585 C RCH CPERATION
586 SEC IJ=11
587 CC 104C J=1,A
588 T=PS11*A1(IF1+1)
589 IF1=(A1)5EC,1CCC,1CCC
590 IP2=IJ+2
591 T=1+PS12*A1(IF2J)
592 E1CC E1A=ALFP1*(T+(A1(IF1)))
593 A1(IF1)*A1(IF1)-ETA
594 A1(IF1+1)*(A1(IF1+1)-PS11*ETA
595 IF1=(A1)11C2C,104C,144C
596 A1(IF2J)=A1(IF2J)-PS12*ETA
597 IJ=IJ+1A
598 C CCLMN CPERATION
599 E1CC IF1=(A1)11CBC,104C,1CCC
600 K=K
601 GC TC 31CC
602 E1CC K=12
603 E1CC IF=1IF-1
604 CC 11EC J=G,K
605 JEP=IP2
606 JI=JEP-JA
607 T=PS11*A1(IF1P)
608 IF1=(A1)1112C,114C,114C
609 JIP2=JEP+IA
610 T=PS12*A1(IF2P)
611 E1A=ALFP1*(T+(A1(IF1)))
612 A1(IF1)*A1(IF1)-ETA
613 A1(IF1)=A1(IF1)-ETA*PS11
614 IF1=(A1)1111C,11EC,11EC
615 A1(IF2P)=A1(IF2P)-ETA*PS12
616 CNTNLE
617 IF1=(A1)112CC,122C,122C
618 JI=IJ+2
619 JEP=J1+IA
620 JIP2=JEP+IA
621 ET=ALFP1*PS12*A1(IF2P)
622 A1(IF1)-ETA*PS11
623 A1(IF2P)=A1(IF2P)-ETA*PS12
624 IJ=IJ+P1
625 IT=IT+1
626 GL TC 6C
627 C END CF ITERATION
628 E1CC IF1=AES1(A(N1))-AES1(A(N2))) 13CC,128C,128C
629 C TC EIGENVALUES HAVE BEEN FCAC
630 E1CC IAN(A)=C
631 IAN(A)=1
632 A=1
633 IF1(N2)=14CC,14CC,2C
634 C AE EIGENVALLE HAS BEEN FCAC
635 E1CC MM(N1)=MM
636 MM(N1)=C
637 MM(A)=1
638 IF1(N1)=14CC,14CC,132C
639 A=A1
640 CC TC 2C
641 E1CC FEILMK
642 ENC

```

Subroutine HSBG

This subroutine reduces an n by n real matrix A by a similarity transformation to upper almost-triangular (Hessenberg) form. Each row is reduced in turn, starting from the last one, by applying a suitable right elimination matrix, and similarity is achieved by also applying the left inverse transformation. Thus the eigenvalues of A are preserved.

1. Mathematical background

Let $A^{(p)}$ denote the matrix obtained from $A^{(0)} = A$ after reducing rows $n, n-1, \dots, n-p+1$. The similarity which transforms $A^{(p)}$ to $A^{(p+1)}$ is as follows:

a. First we determine a pivot element $a_{n-p,k}^{(p)}$ whose column subscript k is such that

$$\left| a_{n-p,k}^{(p)} \right| = \max_i \left| a_{n-p,i}^{(p)} \right|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-p-1$$

b. If the pivot element $a_{n-p,k}^{(p)} = 0$, no transformations are necessary; that is, the $(p+1)$ th similarity is the identity transformation. Otherwise, if it is necessary ($k \neq n-p-1$), we interchange the kth and $(n-p-1)$ th columns so that the pivot element is in the subdiagonal position. The same interchange is applied to the rows of $A^{(p)}$. The resulting matrix is similar to $A^{(p)}$, and, to ease the notation, we denote it by $A^{(p)}$.

c. Define multipliers:

$$b_j^{(p)} = a_{n-p,j}^{(p)} / a_{n-p,n-p-1}^{(p)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-p-2$$

Then the $(p+1)$ th similarity is given by the following.

Right elimination:

$$a_{ij}^{(p)} = a_{ij}^{(p)} - b_j^{(p)} a_{i,n-p-1}^{(p)}$$

$$i=1, 2, \dots, n-p \\ j=1, 2, \dots, n-p-2$$

$$-a_{ij}^{(p)} = a_{ij}^{(p)} \quad \text{other indices}$$

Left inverse transformation:

$$a_{n-p-1,j}^{(p+1)} = \bar{a}_{n-p-1,j}^{(p)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-p-2} b_i^{(p)} \bar{a}_{ij}^{(p)}$$

$$a_{ij}^{(p+1)} = \bar{a}_{ij}^{(p)} \quad \text{if } n-p-1$$

Finally, $A^{(n-2)}$ will have the upper almost-triangular form.

For reference see J. H. Wilkinson, The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965.

```

K=K+IA
L=K+L1
S=A(LK)
LJ=L-IA
DO 280 J=1,L2
JK=K+J
LJ=L+J-IA
280 S=S+(AIJ)*AIJK*I+0.0D0
300 AI(LK)=S
C      SET THE LOWER PART OF THE MATRIX TO ZERO
C
DO 310 I=L,LIA,IA
310 AI(I)=0.0
320 L=L+1
GO TO 20
360 RETURN
END

```

```

HSBG1070
HSBG1080
HSBG1090
HSBG1100
HSBG1110
HSBG1120
HSBG1130
HSBG1140
HSBG1150
HSBG1160
HSBG1170
HSBG1180
HSBG1190
HSBG1200
HSBG1210
HSBG1220
HSBG1230
HSBG1240

```

```

C
***** SUBROUTINE HSBG
C
PURPOSE' TO REDUCE A REAL MATRIX INTO UPPER ALMOST TRIANGULAR FORM
C
USAGE CALL HSBG(N,A,IA)
C
DESCRIPTION OF THE PARAMETERS:
N ORDER OF THE MATRIX
A THE INPUT MATRIX, N BY N
IA SIZE OF THE FIRST DIMENSION ASSIGNED TO THE ARRAY
A IN THE CALLING PROGRAM WHEN THE MATRIX IS IN
DOUBLE SUBSCRIPTED DATA STORAGE MODE. (IA=N WHEN
THE MATRIX IS IN SSP VECTOR STORAGE MODE.
C
REMARKS THE HESSENBERG FORM REPLACES THE ORIGINAL MATRIX IN THE
ARRAY A.
C
SUBROUTINES AND FUNCTION SUBPROGRAMS REQUIRED
NONE
C
METHOD SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATIONS USING ELEMENTARY ELIMINATION
MATRICES, WITH PARTIAL PIVOTING.
C
REFERENCES
J.H. WILKINSON - THE ALGEBRAIC EIGENVALUE PROBLEM -
CLARENDON PRESS, OXFORD, 1965.
C
***** SUBROUTINE HSBG(N,A,IA)
DIMENSION AIJ
DOUBLE PRECISION S
L=N
NIA=L*IA
LIA=N*IA-IA
C
L IS THE ROW INDEX OF THE ELIMINATION
C
20 IF(L=3) 360,40,40
40 LIA=LIA-IA
L1=L-1
L2=L1-1
C
SEARCH FOR THE PIVOTAL ELEMENT IN THE LTH ROW
C
ISUB=LIA+L
PIV=ISUB-IA
PIV=ABS(PIV)
IF(L=3) 90,90,50
50 #=IPIV-IA
DO 80 I=L,M,IA
#=ABS(A(I))
IF(#=IPIV) 80,80,60
60 IPIV=#
PIV=
80 CONTINUE
90 IF(PIV=ABS(A(ISUB))) 180,180,120
C
INTERCHANGE THE COLUMNS
C
120 M=IPIV-L
DO 140 I=1,L
J=M-I
T=A(IJ)
K=LIA+I
A(IJ)=A(K)
140 A(K)=T
C
INTERCHANGE THE ROWS
C
M=L2-M/IA
DO 160 I=L1,NIA,IA
T=A(I)
J=I-M
A(I)=A(J)
160 A(J)=T
C
TERMS OF THE ELEMENTARY TRANSFORMATION
C
180 DO 200 I=L,LIA,IA
200 AI(I)=AI(I)/AI(ISUB)
C
RIGHT TRANSFORMATION
C
J=-IA
DC 240 I=1,L2
J=J-IA
LJ=L+J
DO 220 K=1,L1
KJ=K+J
KL=K+LIA
220 AI(KJ)=AI(KJ)-AI(LJ)*A(KL)
240 CONTINUE
C
LEFT TRANSFORMATION
C
K=-IA
DO 300 I=1,N

```