

to this form. Noting that Eq 131 may be substituted into Eqs 125, and using polar coordinates, that is,

$$\zeta = re^{i\theta} \dots \dots \dots (132)$$

the crack-tip stress field is:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= \frac{K_I}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left[1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right] \\ \sigma_y &= \frac{K_I}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left[1 + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right] \\ \tau_{xy} &= \frac{K_I}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (133)$$

where, from Eq 131,

$$K_I = \lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\zeta)^{1/2} Z_I \dots \dots \dots (134)$$

The strain in the y -direction can be written in terms of displacements and stresses by Hooke's law, or

$$\epsilon_y = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\sigma_y}{E} - \frac{\nu}{E} (\sigma_x + \sigma_z) \dots (135)$$

For plane strain, Hooke's law ($\epsilon_z = 0$) also leads to

$$\sigma_z = \nu(\sigma_x + \sigma_y) \dots \dots \dots (136)$$

Substituting Eqs 125 and 136 into Eq 135 and integrating lead to

$$v = \frac{1+\nu}{E} [2(1-\nu) \operatorname{Im} \bar{Z}_I - y \operatorname{Re} Z_I] \dots (137)$$

Similarly, consideration for ϵ_x gives

$$u = \frac{1+\nu}{E} [(1-2\nu) \operatorname{Re} \bar{Z}_I - y \operatorname{Im} Z_I] \dots (138)$$

Substituting Eqs 131 and 132 into Eqs 137 and 138 and noting $E = 2G(1+\nu)$ lead to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u &= \frac{K_I}{G} (r/2\pi)^{1/2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \left[1 - 2\nu + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right] \\ v &= \frac{K_I}{G} (r/2\pi)^{1/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \left[2 - 2\nu - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (139)$$

(for plane strain, $w = 0$)

Equations 133, 134, 136, and 139 are the resulting crack-tip stress and displacement field, that is, Eqs 1 and 13, for the first mode.

Second Mode:

Instead of choosing the Airy stress function as in Eq 124, it is equally permissible to choose the form,

$$\Phi_{II} = -y \operatorname{Re} \bar{Z}_{II} \dots \dots \dots (140)$$

Repeating all of the operations from Eqs 124-139 and again making use of Eqs 114-123 lead to:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= 2 \operatorname{Im} Z_{II} + y \operatorname{Re} Z_{II}' \\ \sigma_y &= -y \operatorname{Re} Z_{II}' \\ \tau_{xy} &= \operatorname{Re} Z_{II} - y \operatorname{Im} Z_{II}' \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (141)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u &= \frac{1+\nu}{E} \cdot [2(1-\nu) \operatorname{Im} \bar{Z}_{II} + y \operatorname{Re} Z_{II}] \\ v &= \frac{1+\nu}{E} \cdot [-(1-2\nu) \operatorname{Re} \bar{Z}_{II} - y \operatorname{Im} Z_{II}] \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (142)$$

and in the neighborhood of a crack tip, that is, $|\zeta| \rightarrow 0$,

$$Z_{II} |_{|\zeta| \rightarrow 0} = \frac{K_{II}}{(2\pi\zeta)^{1/2}} \dots \dots \dots (143)$$

or

$$K_{II} = \lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\zeta)^{1/2} Z_{II} \dots \dots \dots (144)$$

In addition, near the crack tip, substitution of Eq 143 into Eqs 141 and 142 leads to:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= \frac{-K_{II}}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \left[2 + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} \right] \\ \sigma_y &= \frac{K_{II}}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} \\ \tau_{xy} &= \frac{K_{II}}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \left[1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (145)$$