$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[Sy'_{n} \right] + \left[(Q + \lambda^{2}P) \right] y = 0$$
subjects to binuclearly conditions $x = y + \beta y' = 0$ at $x = a$
 $M_{M} + \beta y' = 0$ at $x = b$

Homogeneous ODE d B.C.

assume for $\lambda = \lambda_{m}$ d $\lambda = \lambda_{m}$ but ODE d B.C are subject
 $y = y'_{m}$ $y' = y'_{n}$ but ODE d B.C are subject
 $y' = y'_{m}$ $y' = y'_{n}$ but $\Delta_{m} \neq \lambda_{m} \neq \lambda_{m}$

 $\Rightarrow \left[Sy'_{m} \right]' + \left[(Q + \lambda_{m}^{2} P) \right] y_{m} = 0$ (c)
 $\left[Sy'_{m} \right]' + \left[(Q + \lambda_{m}^{2} P) \right] y_{m} = 0$ (c)
 $\left[Sy'_{m} \right]' + \left[(Q + \lambda_{m}^{2} P) \right] y_{m} = 0$ (c)
 $\left[Sy'_{m} \right]' y_{m} - \left[Sy'_{m} \right] y_{m} - \left\{ \left[Sy'_{m} \right]' y_{m} + \left[Q + \lambda_{m}^{2} P \right] y_{m} \right] y_{m} - \left\{ \left[Sy'_{m} \right]' + \left[Q + \lambda_{m}^{2} P \right] y_{m} \right\} dx$

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left[Sy'_{m} \right] y'_{m} - \left[Sy'_{m} \right] y'_{m} \right] dx + (\lambda_{m}^{2} - \lambda_{m}^{2}) \int_{a}^{b} P y_{m} y_{m} dx = 0$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left[Sy'_{m} \right] y'_{m} dx = Sy'_{m} y'_{m} \left]_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} Sy'_{m} y'_{m} dx$$
and $at x = b$ $\int xy'_{m} + \beta y'_{m} = 0$ either $\alpha' = 0$ is $\beta = 0$ or
 $\left[y'_{m} - y'_{m} \right] \left[x'_{m} + \beta y'_{m} = 0 \right]$

$$\Rightarrow y_{m} y'_{m} - y_{m} y'_{m} = 0$$

$$x'_{m} + \beta y'_{m} = 0$$

$$x'_{m} + \beta y'_{m} = 0$$

$$y'_{m} y'_{m} \left[y'_{m} - y'_{m} \right] \Big]_{a}^{b} + \left[(\Delta + \lambda_{m}^{a} - \lambda_{m}^{a}) \right]_{a}^{b} p'_{m} y_{m} dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y_{m} y'_{m} - y'_{m} y'_{m} = 0$$

$$x'_{m} + \beta y'_{m} y'_{m} dx = 0$$

$$x'_{m} + \gamma'_{m} y'_{m} dx = 0$$

.

William Reymolds - Soln's of PDEs moks

$$\int_{a}^{b} Py_{n}y_{m} dx = 0 \qquad n \neq m \quad \text{for starson-line(4.2.14)}$$

Eqn. (4.2.14) is the orthogonality property of the eigenfunctions. The eigenfunctions are said to be orthogonal with respect to the weight function P(x).

Now, suppose that, in the course of trying to construct the solution to a PDE as a linear combination of eigensolutions of the linear, homogeneous partial problem, we are led to the point where we wish to determine the coefficients in an eigenfunction expansion,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n y_n(x)$$
 (4.2.15)

where the y_n are eigensolutions of a Sturm-Liouville problem. Multiplying (4.2.15) by Py_m , and integrating over the problem domain,

$$\int_{a}^{b} fPy_{m} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \int_{a}^{b} Py_{n}y_{m} dx \qquad (4.2.16)$$

But, because of the orthogonality property (4.2.14), all of the integrals on the right will drop out, except the one where n = m. Hence, we can immediately solve for A_m ,

$$A_{m} = \frac{\int_{a}^{b} fPy_{m} dx}{\int_{a}^{b} Py_{m}^{2} dx}$$

(4.2.17)

The infinite series (4.2.15) will be useless if it fails to converge to f(x). In specific problems where one calculates the A_n it is easy to perform the standard tests for series convergence. It is somewhat more difficult to prove convergence in general. However, if f is square-integrable, i.e., if

$$\int_{a}^{b} Pf^{2} dx \quad \text{is finite}$$

then the series converges in the sense that "

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} \left| f(x) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{n} y_{n}(x) \right|^{2} dx \to 0$$

This means that, if f is continuous over the interval $a \le x \le b$, the series converges uniformly (at all x). However, if f is discontinuous at some point, then the series will give a value at that point that is the average of the values of f at points infinitesimally above and below the point of discontinuity.

There are many problems of interest involving higher order system of linear homogeneous equations. In these cases, there are no theorems or general proofs of convergence of the eigenfunction expansions. One has to proceed by examining each case separately. However, problems arising from well-thought through physical formulations rarely, if ever, give rise to nonconvergent expansions, so the analyst is usually safe in going ahead, assuming convergence, and then verifying it after the fact by ratio tests, numerical calculations, or other appropriate means.

4.3 <u>Example - Vibrating String</u>

For the vibrating string problem discussed in §4.1, the solution is given by (4.1.6). The coefficients A_n must be chosen such that (4.1.9) is satisfied. The eigenfunctions X_n are eigensolutions of

$$X_{n}'' + \lambda_{n}^{2} X_{n} = 0$$
 (4.3.1)

and hence, from Sturm-Liouville theory, have the orthogonality property

^{*}See, for example, Ince, Ordinary Differential Equations, Dover, New York, 1956.

Now suppose we want to write
$$f(x)$$
 as a first the eigenfunctions $y_n(x)$
now suppose we want to write $f(x) = ZA_n y_n(x)$
if we mult by $P_{y_n} \neq mkagade$
 $\int_{a}^{b} P(x) f(x) y_m(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} ZA_n y_n(x) y_m(x) dx$
 $= \sum A_n \int_{a}^{b} P_{d} y_m^{-1} dx$
 $\int_{a}^{b} P_{d} y_m^{-1} dx$
 \int_{a}^{b}

..

 $\int_{0}^{a} r J_{o}^{2}(r\frac{w_{i}}{c}) dr \qquad can be evaluated as follows$ using the ODE : If you remember Jo (wir) satisfies $\frac{\Lambda_{i}\Gamma_{2}}{rR'' + R' + (j\omega_{i}) Jr = \delta^{2}R = 0} = R_{i} = J_{0}(\lambda_{i}r)$ = $\frac{1}{2} (rR')' + \lambda rR = 0$ let $R(r, \lambda)$ be a solution to $r R'' + R' + (\lambda r)R = 0$ and satisfy that R(r=0) is not a $R(r) = J_0(\lambda r)$ mour take 2 (*) and multiply by R: $\frac{R_i r \partial R}{\partial r^2 \partial A} + \frac{R_i}{\partial \lambda \partial r} = \frac{\partial R}{\partial r} + \frac{2\lambda r R_i + \lambda^2 r}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{R_i}{\partial \lambda}$ integrate over $0 \le r \le a$ $\int_{0}^{a} R_{i} \left\{ \frac{2}{2r} \left(r \frac{\partial R}{\partial r \partial \lambda} \right) + \lambda^{2} r \partial R + 2\lambda r R \right\} dr = 0$ integrate by parts the first term. $\int U dv = Uv - \int v du, kt U = R; v = r \frac{3^2 R}{3 r 3 \lambda}$ $\frac{rR_{i}}{\partial r\partial \lambda} \int_{0}^{4} - \int \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2}R}{\partial r\partial \lambda} R_{i} dr + \int_{0}^{4} \left(\lambda r \frac{\partial R}{\partial r} R_{i} + 2\lambda r R R_{i}\right) dr = 0$ Ri by definition is = 0 @a: (Jo(ria) = 0) at I = 0 at lower limit \$= 0 integrate by $-\int_{0}^{R} r R_{i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r \partial \lambda} dr = -r R_{i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{0}^{R} + \int_{0}^{\Delta R} (r R_{i}) dr_{i} t_{i} u = r R_{i} v = \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda}$ $= \frac{r}{R_{i}^{\prime}} - \frac{R_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda} \int_{0}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda} \left\{ \left(r R_{i}^{\prime} \right)^{\prime} + \lambda^{2} r R_{i} \right\} dr + \int_{0}^{\alpha} 2\lambda r R R_{i} dr = 0$ since Ri solves (rRi) + XrR; -0 when h= hi $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \text{since } K_1 \text{ solves } (rK_1) + \lambda \ln i \text{ or } r \ln i \text{ solves}$ $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} = \lambda = \lambda_1 \quad \text{; thus the middle term is given and} \quad \int_{0}^{\alpha} r i R_1 \, dr \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{\alpha} r R_1^2 \, dr = \frac{\alpha}{2\lambda_1} \frac{R_1 \cdot \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda}}{2\lambda_1}$

Thus $\int_{0}^{a} r J_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{\omega i}{c}r\right) dr = \frac{a}{2\left(\frac{\omega i}{c}\right)} \left[J_{0}\left(\frac{\omega i}{c}a\right)\right]^{2} \cdot a \cdot \frac{\omega i}{c} = \frac{a^{2}}{2} \left[J_{0}\left(\frac{\omega i}{c}a\right)\right]^{2}$ Since Ri(r) = d Jo(wir) = wi Jo(wir) and Ri(wir) solves D.E. & Bis & equals J (wir) $\frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} J_0(\lambda r) = r J_0(\lambda r)$ $\begin{array}{c|c} now & a R_{i}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\Delta R} \\ \hline \partial \lambda \\ r_{ra} \\ \lambda_{2}\lambda_{i} \end{array} = a \left[\underbrace{\omega_{i}}_{C} J_{o}^{\prime}(\underline{\omega_{i}}r) \right] \left[r J_{o}^{\prime}(\lambda r) \right] \\ \hline r_{ra} \\ \lambda_{2}\lambda_{i} \end{array} = a^{2} \underbrace{\omega_{i}}_{C} \left[J_{o}^{\prime}(\underline{\omega_{i}}\alpha) \right]^{2} \\ \hline \lambda_{2}\lambda_{i} \end{array}$ $\widetilde{B}_{i} = \frac{2}{a^{2}} \frac{\int rf(r) J_{o}(\underline{w}_{i}r) dr}{\left[J_{o}'(\underline{w}_{i}a)\right]^{2}}$ THUS $\widetilde{A_{i}} = \frac{2}{a^{2}\omega_{i}} \left\{ \frac{rg(r) J_{o}(\frac{\omega_{i}}{c}r)dr}{J_{o}'(\frac{\omega_{i}}{c}a)} \right\}^{2}$ Bessel Relations: $J_{o}'(\lambda x) = -\lambda J_{i}(\lambda x) \therefore J_{o}'(\frac{w}{c}a) = -\frac{w}{c} J_{i}(\frac{w}{c}a)$ • note Problem in Reynolds pg 4.10 in volves - SPHERICAL BESSEL FNS. produces a bessel eq that solves $r^2 R^4 + 2r R' + \lambda^2 r^2 R = 0$ and this has an $[r^{2}R']' + \lambda^{2}r^{2}R = 0$ or the gonality condition $\int r^2 R_m R_m dr = 0$ in general if $[Sy']' + [Q + \lambda^2 P]y = 0$ Sturm-Liouville under the conditions $\alpha y + \beta y' = 0$ at x=a, x=by is an eigenfunction & eigenvalue then if we want to construct $f(x) = \sum A_n y_n(x)$ $A_n = \int_a^b f(x) P(x) y_n(x) dx$ (P(x) 42/x) dx

$$R(\mathbf{r},\lambda) = \frac{\sin(\lambda \mathbf{r})}{\lambda \mathbf{r}}$$
(4.4.26)

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \cos(\lambda_n r_0) \qquad (4.4.27)$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_n$$

$$r = r_0$$

Noting that $\cos(\lambda_n r_o) = (-1)^n$, (4.2.23) gives

$$A_n = 2(-1)^{n+1} T_0$$
 (4.4.28)

So, our final solution is, from (4.4.12),

$$T(r,t) = 2T_{o} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\sin(n\pi r/r_{o})}{n\pi r/r_{o}} e^{-n^{2}\pi^{2}\alpha t/r_{o}^{2}}$$
(4.4.29)

Note that the series converges for all t. The series for $\partial T/\partial r$, developed from (4.4.29) by differentiation, will converge for all t > 0 because of the exponential, but does not converge at t = 0. But this is not a serious limitation. As t increases the series converges more rapidly, and at large t the solution is given (approximately) by just the first term,

$$\simeq 2T_{o} \frac{\sin(\pi r/r_{o})}{\pi r/r_{o}} e^{-\pi^{2}\alpha t/r_{o}^{2}}$$
(4.4.30)
$$\frac{1}{T} \frac{don't}{read}$$

4.5 <u>Sturm-Liouville Denominator Integral</u> for genual S-L eqn

Т

In analyses, leading to the Sturm-Liouville problems, the orthogonality property will produce (4.2.17). The denominator integral may be expressed in terms of quantities evaluated at the boundary using a generalization of the trick employed in the previous example. Let $y(x,\lambda)$ be a solution to (4.2.1) not necessarily satisfying the boundary conditions (4.2.2). Then, $y(x,\lambda_p)$

4.2.1 is
$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\begin{array}{c} S dy \\ \overline{dx} \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{c} Q + \lambda^2 P \right] y = 0 \\ 4.16 \end{array}$$

4.2.2 is $xy + \beta y' = 0 \quad @ x = a \quad dx = b$

Diff. Eq. 1 the

will be an eigensolution satisfying the boundary conditions. We differentiate (4.2.1) with respect to λ , obtaining

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left(\mathbf{S} \ \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \lambda} \right) + \left[\mathbf{Q} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{P} \right] \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \lambda} + 2\lambda \mathbf{P} \mathbf{y} = 0$$
(4.5.1)

. h

Next, we multiply (4.5.1) by y_n and integrate over the problem range,

$$\int_{a}^{b} y_{n} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(s \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x \partial \lambda} \right) + \left[Q + \lambda^{2} P \right] \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} + 2\lambda P y \right\} dx = 0 \quad (4.5.2)$$

The first integral is integrated twice by parts, and (4.5.2) becomes

. 1

$$y_{n} S \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x \partial \lambda} \bigg|_{a}^{b} - \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} y_{n}^{\dagger} S \bigg|_{a}^{b}$$
$$+ \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} \left((Sy_{n}^{\dagger})^{\dagger} + \left[Q + \lambda^{2} P \right] y_{n} \right) dx + 2\lambda \int_{a}^{b} Pyy_{n} dx = 0 \quad (4.5.3)$$

Now, if we set $\lambda = \lambda_n$, the first integral drops out (because the integrand contains the y_n equation), and hence

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{if } y_{n} \text{ is a soln to Bcscel eqn} \\ \Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda_{n}. \text{ last term of 4.5.3} \\ \text{becomes} \\ \end{array} \int_{a}^{b} Py_{n}^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2\lambda_{n}} \left\{ y_{n}^{*} S \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{a}^{b} - y_{n}^{*} S \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x \partial \lambda} \Big|_{a}^{b} \right\} \\ (4.5.4)$

Thus, the denominator in A_n can be evaluated without recourse to integration.

4.6 <u>Removal of Inhomogeneities in the PDE and BCs</u>

In the previous problem, the PDE and BCs were homogeneous, and therefore eigensolutions of this homogeneous problem could be found. By taking a

4.17